

Dated at Waukesha, Wisconsin the 22nd Day of January, 2026

BY THE COURT:


Ralph M. Ramirez
Presiding Judge

STATE OF WISCONSIN

CIRCUIT COURT
THIRD JUDICIAL DISTRICT

WAUKESHA COUNTY
26-SO-03 (67)

**IN THE MATTER OF:
USE OF ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE
AND CERTIFICATION OF
CITATIONS IN BRIEFS, PROPOSED
ORDERS, AND OTHER FILINGS IN
FAMILY DIVISION**

ORDER

WHEREAS, the Waukesha County Judges believe a standing order regarding the use of artificial intelligence in briefs, proposed orders, and other legal filings is necessary ; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to 24-SO-01 (67), the Chief Judge appointed Judge Ralph M. Ramirez Presiding Judge of Waukesha County; and

WHEREAS, the Presiding Judge has the duty, under SCR 70.19(3)(b), for the maintenance of an effective management of case flow through the judicial administrative district; and

WHEREAS, the Presiding Judge, under SCR 70.20, may order that his directives, policies and rules be carried out; and

STANDING ORDER

This Standing Order governs the use of artificial intelligence (“AI”) tools in the preparation of all briefs, proposed orders, and other written submissions filed in the Family Division in Waukesha County Circuit Court, and it applies to all attorneys, parties, and *pro se* litigants. This Standing Order requires that the attorney, party, or *pro se* litigant submitting a filing that has been prepared using AI must first independently review the document and the citations contained therein to confirm the accuracy, legitimacy, and use of good applicable law. The attorney, party, or *pro se* litigant must then include the certification mentioned below to the proposed filing.

CERTIFICATION

Any attorney or party proceeding without an attorney (*pro se* litigant”) who uses AI in any capacity to create, prepare, draft, or review a filing *shall* disclose such use in the document with the following certification:

This document was generated with the assistance of [*identify AI tool(s) used, e.g., ChatGPT, Perplexity, Claude, Grok, etc.*]. I hereby certify under penalty of perjury that, despite the reliance on an artificial intelligence tool, I have independently reviewed this document to confirm accuracy, legitimacy, and use of good and applicable law. I hereby certify under the penalty of perjury that every citation to law, case, statute or the record in this document has been verified as accurate and that it exists as cited for the proposition cited.

COMPLIANCE

Failure to comply with this Order may result in the Court taking appropriate action, including without limitation: striking the filing, imposing sanctions, or disciplinary referral. Mistake, lack of technical expertise, or time constraints will not be accepted as good faith excuses for noncompliance. The use of AI does not relieve any attorney or *pro se* litigant or their ethical obligations and/or professional obligations found in the Wisconsin Supreme Court rules of professional conduct and/or Wis. Stat. 802.05.

This order is effective immediately and applies to all filings submitted after the date of entry or as otherwise ordered by the Court as to pending filings.