ENROLLED ORDINANCE 175-18

ADOPT TEXT AMENDMENTS TO THE WAUKESHA COUNTY ZONING CODE RELATING TO ANIMAL KEEPING REGULATIONS AND MODERNIZE VARIOUS OTHER MISCELLANEOUS CODE PROVISIONS (RZ62)

WHEREAS, the Waukesha County Board of Supervisors enacted the Waukesha County Zoning Code on February 26, 1959; and

WHEREAS, the Waukesha County Board of Supervisors may make amendments to such Ordinance pursuant to Section 59.69, Wisconsin Statutes; and

WHEREAS, the Waukesha County Department of Parks and Land Use held a public hearing on March 19, 2020; and

WHEREAS, the proposed amendments have been duly referred, considered and approved by the Waukesha County Park and Planning Commission at its meeting of June 18, 2020; and

WHEREAS, the Waukesha County Park and Planning Commission has forwarded the proposed amendments and the Staff Report and Recommendation to the Land Use, Parks and Environment Committee and to the Waukesha County Board of Supervisors with its recommendation that the proposed amendments be approved.

THE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF THE COUNTY OF WAUKESHA ORDAINS that the Waukesha County Zoning Code is hereby amended to modify the animal keeping regulations and to modernize various other miscellaneous code provisions and are more specifically described in the "Staff Report and Recommendation" by reference RZ62, on file in the office of the Waukesha County Department of Parks and Land Use, and made a part of this Ordinance.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that this Ordinance shall become effective upon passage and publication.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that the Waukesha County Clerk shall file a certified copy of this Ordinance with each of the town clerks of Ottawa and Oconomowoc.

File Number: 175-O-018

ADOPT TEXT AMENDMENTS TO THE WAUKESHA COUNTY ZONING CODE RELATING TO ANIMAL KEEPING REGULATIONS AND MODERNIZE VARIOUS OTHER MISCELLANEOUS CODE PROVISIONS (RZ62)

Presented by: Land Use, Parks, and Environment Committee
Jennifer Grant, Chair Keith Hammitt
Thomas J. Michalski A
William A. Mitchell
Chris Mommaerts
Richard Morris
Thomas J Schellinger
The foregoing legislation adopted by the County Board of Supervisors of Waukesha County, Wisconsin, was presented to the County Executive on:
Date: 1/31/2020, Margaret Wartman, County Clerk
The foregoing legislation adopted by the County Board of Supervisors of Waukesha County, Wisconsin, is hereby: Approved: X Vetoed: Paul Farrow, County Executive

COMMISSION ACTION

The Waukesha County Park and Planning Commission after giving consideration to the subject matter of the <u>Ordinance</u> to amend the Waukesha County Shoreland and Floodland Protection Ordinance, hereby recommends <u>approval</u> of (RZ61 Waukesha County Park and Planning Commission – SFPO Text Amendments) in accordance with the attached "Staff Report and Recommendation."

June 18, 2020

Robert Peregrine (via Microsoft 7eams) Robert Peregrine, Chairman
Robert Peregrine, Chairman
William Mitchell (via Microsoft Teams)
William Mitchell, Vice Chairman
Richard Morris (via Microsoft Teams)
Richard Morris
James Siepmann (via Microsoft Teams)
James Siepmann
Thomas Michalski (via Microsoft Teams)
Thomas Michalski
William Maslowski (via Microsoft Teams)

William Maslowski

PARK AND PLANNING COMMISSION

COMMISSION ACTION

The Waukesha County Park and Planning Commission after giving consideration to the subject matter of the Ordinance to amend the Waukesha County Zoning Code, hereby recommends approval of (RZ62 Waukesha County Park and Planning Commission – ZC Text Amendments) in accordance with the attached "Staff Report and Recommendation."

PARK A	AND	PLA	NNING	COM	IMISSION
--------	-----	-----	-------	-----	----------

June 18, 2020

Robert Peregrine (via Microsoft Teams)
Robert Peregrine, Chairman
,
William Mitchell (via Microsoft Teams)
William Mitchell, Vice Chairman
,
Richard Morris (via Microsoft Teams)
Richard Morris
James Siepmann (via Microsoft Teams)
James Siepmann
vanies siepinani
Thomas Michalski (via Microsoft Teams)
Thomas Michalski
Thomas information
William Maslowski (via Microsoft Teams)
William Maslowski

WAUKESHA COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF PARKS AND LAND USE STAFF REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION TEXT AMENDMENTS

WAUKESHA COUNTY SHORELAND AND FLOODLAND PROTECTION ORDINANCE AND WAUKESHA COUNTY ZONING CODE

FILE NOs: RZ61 and RZ62

DATE: June 18, 2020

PETITIONER: Waukesha County Park and Planning Commission

REQUEST:

To amend the text of the Waukesha County Shoreland and Floodland Protection Ordinance (SFPO) and the Waukesha County General Zoning Code (ZC), relative to the keeping of animals with a specific section on the keeping of chickens on residential properties (recreational chickens), standardizing other animal keeping regulations in the A-1 District, other minor miscellaneous animal amendments, and to modernize various other miscellaneous code provisions (refer to Summary Ordinance Amendment Table, Exhibit A). The jurisdiction of the SFPO is in all eleven Towns within 1,000 feet of any lake or pond, 300 feet of a river or stream or the landward side of the floodplain whichever is greater, unless otherwise noted as exempt. The jurisdiction of the ZC is limited to the non-shoreland Towns of Oconomowoc and Ottawa.

PUBLIC HEARING DATE: March 19, 2020

PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT/REACTION:

Meeting with Town Planners. Planners were invited to participate in a discussion regarding the development of the revisions to the County zoning ordinance provisions relative to the keeping of animals in 2018. The Planning and Zoning Division (PZD) staff first examined all town ordinances within the County and researched other best practices and created a research summary document. The following topics were discussed: limits on number of animals/bees; lot size considerations; enclosures (type/location); nuisance provisions; feed/manure handling; registration/permitting. The input received was used to draft zoning regulations applicable to both codes.

Consulted with County LRD staff and Humane Officer regarding animal numbers and also manure and health/disease issues. Some of the input received was used to develop the amendments and in the BMP document.

Information posted to the PZD Website. The draft text amendments are available for viewing at www.waukeshacounty.gov/landandparks/planning-and-zoning/zoning-ordinances (refer to the first three documents under the Draft County Ordinances tab). The public hearing notice also included a link to the webpage.

The amendments were provided to the WI Department of Natural Resources for comment and on March 24, 2020. Only typographical and grammatical comments were received.

Public Hearing, March 19, 2020. The following comments and concerns were provided during the public hearings:

<u>Public Hearing to amend the text of the Waukesha County Shoreland and Floodland Protection</u> Ordinance relating to animal keeping regulations (RZ61)

Several people spoke regarding the amendments and the comments are summarized below:

- One individual expressed that he did not think that everyday cleaning of coops makes sense. He wanted language changed to say the best use of chicken manure was to dig it into ground to grow gardens. He also felt that the requirement for chickens to be kept 50 feet from lot lines is too far. He asked that 20 to 25 feet be considered. He also stated a preference for chicken coops to have a dirt floor and use a composting method with deep piling of pine shavings, let them accumulate throughout the winter which keeps the chickens most healthy.
- A Town of Mukwonago citizen noted that the Town of Mukwonago does not regulate bee keeping regardless of lot size or zoning. He stated that bees are critical to our food supply and stated that, without pollination, there are no crops and this needs to be considered. He noted that many of the Town of Mukwonago lots under shoreland zoning are less than three acres in size and contain lake frontage. He felt lot size should not be a consideration. To be consistent with the principals of few laws and less government control, he suggested that bees not be regulated at all. He stated that it is desirable for the Waukesha County Shoreland zoning to be consistent with the Town of Mukwonago zoning wherever possible. He noted that there will be confusion for Town of Mukwonago residents regarding applicable ordinances, and enforcement and penalties will arise if there is two sets of rules.
- A City of Waukesha citizen stated she has chickens and knows friends and family that have chickens (outside of the city) and neither has one acre of land. She agreed with less government control over the lot size for the keeping of chickens for those that have under one acre of land. She had concerns with the proposed placement of coops and manure requirements. She thought there were too many regulations and restrictions for people who want to have recreational chickens.
- An Eagle Town Supervisor stated that Eagle is a unique town as far as equine animals. He has lived there for 26 years and the rule has always been one horse per acre. Three acres was the minimum lot size for keeping horses. There are some parcels that have shoreland on their property, possibly a pond. This would restrict the number of horses allowed on that type of property. On non-shoreland properties you are allowed to have five horses on five acres. People have been doing this for a long period of time and there would be two sets of rules. Residents purchased properties so they could have one horse per acre. Many properties would lose value if this amendment is approved.
- The Town of Eagle Chairman stated that he agreed with the above statements. He asked Mr. Fruth if a letter was received by e-mail from him yesterday and if it was forwarded to the Commission members? Mr. Fruth replied "Yes". He presented a map to the Commission showing the Southern Unit of the Kettle Moraine State Forest, farmland preservation properties and the shoreland jurisdiction. He stated that the town does not have control over the State Forest which is regulated by the Department of Natural Resources and the county. Residents want to keep the one horse per acre rule, as it has always been. Many residents are horse riding enthusiasts on the surrounding trails. In the memo, it states that other towns' comments were taken into consideration. He is asking that the Town of Eagle be exempt. It would be impossible for residents to understand cutting it down to one horse per three acres. What

would residents do if they had to get rid of their horses? Who would police or monitor this rule? In addition, there are two horse boarding facilities which would lose their livelihood if the amendment goes through.

- A town resident explained that she has had horses for many years and that horses are herding animals that need other horses to live with to avoid anxiety. She expressed opposition to allowance of only one horse on a three acre property and felt it would be bad for the health of the animal. She stated that most people have at least two horses because of this.
- A question was asked as to whether emails would be allowed to be entered as public hearing
 comments because some people were not able to come or didn't know the meeting was going to
 be held today? Mr. Fruth replied e-mails and written correspondence would be accepted.
- A question was asked as to whether the public hearing would be held open? Mr. Fruth replied "No," but written comments will be accepted for the next two weeks.

Mr. Fruth noted that the Town of Eagle was subject to the County's General Zoning Code going back to the late 1950's. The rule was one horse per acre since the late 1950's until the 1990s. There was a major Town Code overhaul approximately 10 years ago and that may be when the one acre rule came in. A member of the audience clarified that the Town of Eagle rule is one horse per acre, but there is also a rule that you must have three acres to have any horses, and then you can have three horses on three acres.

Staff further researched this issue and determined that the County Shoreland and Floodland Protection Ordinance was amended in 1995 to allow one horse or animal for the first three acres and then one per acre thereafter. For the past 25 years, that has been the standard in shoreland areas within Eagle and throughout the shoreland jurisdictional areas of the county.

A written comment was received from a resident in the Town of Eagle who had offered concerns at the town meeting. She thanked the county for being responsive to the request made at the Eagle meeting. Several phone calls were also received before the hearing from Town of Eagle residents.

<u>Public Hearing to amend the text of the Waukesha County Zoning Code relating to animal keeping regulations (RZ62)</u>

The Town of Ottawa expressed a preference for a one acre minimum lot size for residential chicken keeping, not the 20,000 sq. ft. originally proposed. No concerns were raised by the Town of Oconomowoc.

In a letter forwarded by the Town Planner dated March 25, 2020, the consensus of both the Town of Mukwonago Plan Commission and the Town Board was that the minimum acreage for the keeping of honey bees, as proposed, is far too restrictive. Other municipalities in Wisconsin, and elsewhere, have had success with much smaller parcels of land.

COMPLIANCE WITH THE COMPREHENSIVE DEVELOPMENT PLAN FOR WAUKESHA COUNTY:

The proposal to create chicken keeping accommodations on smaller lots and amend various sections of the ordinances in order to modernize certain provisions and to clarify other provisions furthers the objectives of the Waukesha County Comprehensive Development Plan by providing for a variety of land uses within the zoning districts while also providing adequate protection of the health, safety, and general welfare of the public, and the health and safety of the lakes, streams, and other natural resources in Waukesha County.

STAFF ANALYSIS:

Our office has experienced an increase in the number of inquiries regarding the keeping of chickens on residential properties in recent years. In response, PZD staff researched local ordinances and best management practices regarding this use trend. Our office extended an invitation to all eleven town planners within the county in 2018 to participate in discussing draft zoning amendments for chickens on smaller residential properties. Several town planners and county staff subsequently met and discussed the keeping of chickens as well as assessed existing standards for bees, horses, and other types of livestock. The group supported accommodating chickens and expressed an interest in streamlining the animal keeping standards to make them easier to understand and administer. Below is a summary of the proposed ordinance amendments related to animals. A Summary Ordinance Amendment Table is also attached as Exhibit A. The proposed animal keeping amendments would pertain to both the Waukesha County ZC (applicable to the non-shoreland areas of the Towns of Oconomowoc and Ottawa only) and the Waukesha County SFPO (shoreland areas of all eleven towns) as further described in the Request Section above:

- Creates "recreational chicken use" accommodations within the zoning ordinances (Section 3(w) in the SFPO, and Section 3.21 in the ZC). Keeping of chickens is currently restricted to parcels of a minimum of three or five acres depending upon a property's zoning district. The new code option would allow a maximum of five chickens via a registration process on parcels that are between one and three acres in area. The proposed chicken keeping provisions contain standards for coops, enclosures, and preventing nuisance conditions. It should be noted the Town of Brookfield requested to be exempt from this new provision of the SFPO as they are not interested in allowing backyard chicken keeping at all, and consider themselves an urban town, and do not have the resources to handle violations and nuisance complaints, etc.
- The recreational chicken use provisions include a cross reference to a best management practices
 document for keeping of chickens to make animal care, disease prevention, and other resources
 readily accessible to individuals considering keeping chickens on smaller properties.
- Standardizes that one head of livestock is permissible if parcels are at least three acres (one
 additional head of livestock per each acre thereafter). At present, some districts require a minimum
 of five acres for livestock. The animal keeping rules would be consolidated in the A-1 Agricultural
 District.
- In the SFPO, the Town of Eagle has requested where parcels of land contain both Town of Eagle and County zoning jurisdiction, the Town of Eagle's zoning regulations regarding the number of livestock allowed on that parcel apply. The Town of Eagle has had a provision in effect for many years allowing multiple animals/horses on parcels as small as one acre and that they prefer one acre rather than three acres. The Town of Eagle asked if there could be language for split jurisdictional parcels (part shoreland and part town jurisdiction). The Planning and Zoning Division staff thus created and added language to the proposed amendments which defers to the town standards for horses and other large animals.
- In addition to one head of livestock per three acres, the proposed amendments would **also** allow up to twenty poultry per acre if a property contains at least three acres. Current rules allow **either** one head of livestock **or** up to twenty poultry per acre.
- Reduces acreage requirements for keeping of bees from five acres to three acres so that the three
 acre minimum is consistent across zoning districts.
- Reduce the minimum required acreage for cultivation of lands from five to three acres and preserves the ability of the individual town and the county to authorize cultivation on smaller tracts while giving consideration to the neighborhood setting.
- In residential and agricultural districts (other than the farmland preservation districts), clarify that
 animal keeping on parcels or farms of between five and twenty acres is limited to one head of
 livestock for the first three acres of land and one head of livestock per each additional acre

thereafter and provide a waiver option for more animals to be sought upon a review of adjacent land uses by the individual town and the county.

In addition to the proposed animal amendments, the following miscellaneous amendments are proposed to modernize the zoning ordinances relative to several other unrelated issues:

- Deletes the C-1 Conservancy District and the EC Environmental Corridor District and all
 references thereto from the ordinances and revise all references to those base zoning districts to the
 C-1 Conservancy Overlay District and the EC Environmental Corridor Overlay Districts
 respectively. These overlay zoning districts were phased in within the past few years, and therefore
 the base zoning districts, as referenced, are no longer mapped, and thus can be removed.
- Deletes the R-1a District from the ZC, as there are no longer any properties zoned as such.
- Per Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources requirements, incorporates minor changes to shore setback averaging language (Section 3(h)(2)(i)) of the SFPO.
- Revises farm signage provisions to allow for signs of a maximum of 20 square feet in area on farms of at least 35 acres in the various districts where signage is currently limited to small nameplates.
- Revises the "permitted use" language for the districts where private use greenhouses are permitted
 to clarify that nurseries, which are defined as containing sales activities, are not authorized in those
 districts.
- Modifies the requirements for the timeframe for town conditional use recommendations and the conditional use notice mailing method. The first change would allow a town plan commission 45 days to advance a recommendation to the county rather than the 30 days that are currently specified in the ZC in order to match the SFPO. This extended timeframe better accommodates town meeting schedules. In addition, the second change would make all references to the mailing of conditional use certified mail state first class mail, which would resolve inconsistencies within the SFPO.
- Modifies the wall height of boathouses from twelve feet to eleven feet.

The proposed text amendments are available for viewing at: www.waukeshacounty.gov/landandparks/planning-and-zoning/zoning-ordinances (refer to the first three documents under the Draft County Ordinances tab). The proposed amendments are in a "track changes" format (deletions in strike-through and text additions in colored font).

After the close of the public hearing, the Town of Mukwonago shared a preference for beekeeping on smaller parcels. While the proposed amendments do relax some lot size requirements for beekeeping, staff is not opposed to further exploring this topic with the town planners as a subsequent effort. However, the towns that participated in initial meetings on this topic (Oconomowoc, Genesee, Ottawa, Delafield, and Merton) expressed a preference to not significantly change beekeeping rules as part of this code update effort. The town planners noted safety concerns on smaller shoreland properties where neighboring homes may be only several feet apart. County staff has responded to multiple complaints from citizens in recent years regarding swarming and feel that allergy conditions of some residents cause reason for caution in considering changes. Because chicken keeping was the primary reason for this proposed set of amendments, staff believes moving the amendments forward, as proposed, is the best course of action at this time.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION:

It is the opinion of the Planning and Zoning Division Staff that the zoning text amendments to the Waukesha County Shoreland and Floodland Protection Ordinance (SFPO) and Waukesha County Zoning Code (ZC) be <u>approved</u>.

With the implementation of the amendments, several provisions of the ordinances will be updated and modernized which will provide more consistency between the two zoning codes by eliminating conflicts, and will clarify and standardize issues that previously made administration of the SFPO and ZC challenging. By improving the two codes in this manner, they will be more user friendly documents that will be easier to both administer and understand. In addition, the amendments will address recreational chicken keeping as a use on lots between one and three acres in size while providing some regulation, as well as best management practices, for both seasoned and new chicken owners to implement in an effort to ensure a safe and healthy environment for all residents. Finally, the Town of Eagle's request for horse and large animal regulations within the shoreland areas of the town to align with the town's existing zoning code recognizes the unique conditions in Eagle relative to horse riding trails in the Kettle Moraine State Forest.

Respectfully submitted,

Sandra L. Scherer

Sandy Scherer Senior Planner

Attachments:

- Exhibit A Summary Ordinance Amendment Table
- Best Management Practices: https://www.waukeshacounty.gov/globalassets/parks-land-use/planning-zoning/draft-county-ordinances/ze-bmps.pdf
- SFPO in track changes: https://www.waukeshacounty.gov/globalassets/parks--land-use/planning-zoning/draft-county-ordinances/sfpo-master-thrue-eo-172-50-effective-12-07-17-used-for-ao-amends-05-22-20-clean-tracked-revision.pdf
- ZC in track changes: https://www.waukeshacounty.gov/globalassets/parks--land-use/planning-zoning/draft-county-ordinances/county-zoning-code-master-thru-co-172-55-effective-[2-07-17-used-for-ao-amends-05-22-20-clean-tracked-revision.pdf

N:\PRKANDLU\Planning and Zoning\Rezones\Staff Reports\RZ61 and RZ62 SFPO and ZC Animal Ordinance Amendments.doc

Provision	SFPO Section	ZC Section	Notes
Entire code			Amend all C-1 and EC District references to the C-1 Overlay and EC Overlay Districts. Minor typographical, formatting and punctuation edits.
Table of Contents	C-1, C-1 Overlay, EC, EC Overlay	C-1, C-1 Overlay, EC, EC Overlay, R-1a	Rename existing C-1 and EC Districts to C-1 Overlay and EC Overlay Districts, delete existing C-1 Overlay and EC Overlay Districts, and delete the R-1a District.
Definitions	2(b)	2.02	Add any applicable new definitions (e.g., further define poultry) and other minor edits and updates to certain definitions particularly related to the animal ordinance amendments and to make the definitions consistent between the two codes.
Use Regulations	3(e)4	3.07(4)	Addition of clarifying language. Addition of nuisance determination language regarding certain animals and incidents of apiculture.
Shore, Floodplain and Wetland Setbacks	3(h)(2)(l)	n/a	Language clarification from prior set of amendments required by the WDNR.
Boathouse regulations	3(s)(7)(B)	n/a	Change in wall height from twelve feet to eleven feet.
Conditional Uses	4(b), (c) and (g)	3.08(1), (2), (3), (4), (5), (6), and (7)	Minor edits to make the language consistent between the two codes including the elimination of two certified mail references in the SFPO.
Conditional Uses	4(g)(22)	3.08(7)(P)(2)(a) and (d)	Remove the R-1a District from the Residential PUD table; edit percentages in ZC to match the SFPO.
Conditional Uses	4(g)(23)(A) and (F)	3.08(Q){6}	Add best management practices found elsewhere in the code; require SPPO in SFPO to match ZC.
Conditional Uses	4(g)(25)(B)(i) and (iv)	3.08(S)(2)(a) and (d)	Change number of days to be consistent with general CU provisions.
Conditional Uses	n/a	3.08(T)(5)(b)	Delete reference to the R-1a District.
Recreational chicken use	3(w)	3.21	Addition of a recreational chicken section regulating lot size, number and type of chickens, coo and pen, inspections, registration, and other regulations. Exempts Town of Brookfield.
Districts	6(a)	4.01	Re-order the list of districts in the SFPO to match their order in the code. Rename existing C-1 and EC Districts to C-1 Overlay and EC Overlay Districts, delete existing C-1 Overlay and EC Overlay Districts in both codes.
Conservancy Overlay (new)	7(a)	5	Addition of a clarifying jurisdictional sentence.
Conservancy Overlay (old)	7.1	5a	Removed - no longer mapped.
EC Overlay (new)	9(a) and (b)	6.71 and 6.72(1)(C)	Addition of a clarifying jurisdictional sentence; update language to reference A-1 District in certain instances.
			1.1.11.11.11.11.11.11.11.11.11.11.11.11

A-T District	12(c){2}	6.43	Update sign provisions.
A-B District	13(b)	6.22	Update sign provisions and other minor edits,
AD-10 District	15(c)	6,5(3)	Update the section to primarily reference the permitted uses in the A-1 District.
RRD-5 District	16(c)	8b.01(3)	Update the section to primarily reference the permitted uses in the A-1 District.
A-5 District	17(b)(2)	6.62(2)	Update the section to reference the permitted uses in the A-1 District.
A-1 District	18(a)	7.01	Amend agricultural and farm uses into four categories based on lot size ranges and regulate what uses and how many animals are allowed within those ranges; update sign provisions; and other minor edits to make certain sections consistent with the rest of the code and between the two codes. Add section for the Town of Eagle only and where parcels of land contain both Town and County zoning jurisdiction, the Town of Eagle's zoning regulations regarding the number of livestock and poultry for agricultural or farm use allowed on that parcel shall apply.
A-2 District	19(a)	8.01	Update the section to reference the permitted uses in the A-1 District.
A-3 District	20(a)	8a.01	Update the section to reference the permitted uses in the A-1 District.
A-4 District	21(a)	n/a	Update the section to reference the permitted uses in the A-1 District.
HG District	22(b)	7b.02	Update the section to reference the permitted uses in the A-1 District with certain exceptions; update the sign provisions.
R-1 District	23(a)	9.01(1)	Update the section to reference the permitted uses in the A-1 District.
R-1a District	n/a	9a	Deleted - no longer mapped.
R-2 District	24(a)	10.01 (1)	Update the section to reference the permitted uses in the A-1 District.
R-3 District	25(a)	11.01(1)	Update the section to reference the permitted uses in the A-1 District.
P-I District	27(c)	12.03(4)	Clarifying language for roadside stands in the SFPO, and also SPPO language to match the SFPO in the ZC.
M-1 District	n/a	17.01(1)(A)	Removed the A-1 District as the ordinance eventually pyramids back to the A-1 District permitted uses.
Public Hearings	n/a	21.02(2)	Change number of days to be consistent with general CU provisions.

Prepared by SLS 1/28/20, revised 6/9/20

Referred on: 07/09/20

File Number: 175-O-018 & 019

Referred to: LU

11

Ordinance 175-0-018

VOTE RESULTS: Passed By Majority Vote

AYE: 22 NAY: 0 ABSENT: 3

	AND THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPER	
D2 - Zimmermann	34	D15 - N
D3 - Morris	AYE	D16-C
D4 - Batzko	ABSENT	D17 - P
D5 - Dondlinger		D18 - N
D6 - Walz	A/E	D19-C
D7 - Grant	Motion AVE	D20 - S
D8 - Michalski	AYE	D21 - G
D9 - Heinrich	AE	D22 - W
D10 - Swan	The second secon	D23 - H
D11 - Howard	34	D24 - W
D12 - Wolff	AVE	D25 - Jo
D13 - Decker	AVE	

D14 - Mommaerts		AYE
D15 - Mitchell	210005 5	AYE
D16 - Crowley		AYE
D17 - Paulson		AYE
D18 - Nelson		AYE
D19 - Cummings	ELIKELEN ELIKATORA KANTANIAN NAMBAN MAMBANAN KANTANIAN K	AYE
D20 - Schellinger		AYE
D21 - Gaughan		AYE
D22 - Wysocki	Control of the Contro	AYE
D23 - Hammitt		ABSENT
D24 - Whittow	AA KKKKKKALIIIA LAPAN DAKAA BAA BAA BAA BAA BAA BAA BAA BAA BAA	AWE
D25 - Johnson		AYE